Page 1 of 11

## EXHIBIT F

## **ORIGINAL**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC, etc., et al., Plaintiffs, ) Case No. v. ) 05-03639 JW GOOGLE, INC., Defendant.

## DEPOSITION OF HOWARD STERN

August 16, 2006

227871

Court Reporters

(310) 207.8000 Los Angeles (949) 955.0400 Orange County (415) 433.5777 San Francisco

(916) 922.5777 Sacramento (408) 885.0550 San Jose (951) 686.0606 Inland Empire

(858) 455.5444 San Diego (760) 322.2240 Palm Springs

(818) 702.0202 San Fernando Valley

```
1
  2
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
  3
      SAN JOSE DIVISION
      CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a
  4
      INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD
  5
      STERN, on behalf of themselves and
      all others similarly situated,
  6
                              Plaintiffs,
 7
                  v.
                                          Case No.
 8
                                          05-03639 JW
     GOOGLE, INC.,
 9
                             Defendant.
10
11
                             August 16, 2006
12
                             11:19 a.m.
13
14
                   VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of HOWARD
15
           STERN, taken by Defendant, pursuant to
16
           notice, held at the offices of Thacher
17
           Proffitt & Wood, 2 World Financial
18
           Center, New York, New York, before
19
           Amy E. Sikora, CRR, CSR, RPR, Certified
20
           Realtime Reporter, Certified Shorthand
21
           Reporter, Registered Professional
22
           Reporter, and Notary Public within and
23
           for the State of New York.
24
25
                               1
```

1			
2	APPEARANCES:		
3	WOLF POPPER LLP		
4	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class		
5	845 Third Avenue		
6	New York, New York 10022		
7	BY: LESTER L. LEVY, ESQ.		
8	MICHELE F. RAPHAEL, ESQ.		
9	PERKINS COIE LLP		
10	Attorneys for Defendant		
11	180 Townsend Street		
12	San Francisco, CA 94107-1909		
13	BY: DAVID T. BIDERMAN, ESQ.		
14	M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, ESQ.		
15			
16			
17	ALSO PRESENT:		
18	THOMAS DELVECCHIO, Videographer		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

H. Stern		
about a month ago. And that was about 380		
days. And I assumed I ran it a few more days		
since then. So it just gives me a ballpark		
idea of just how many days my campaign was		
actively running.		
Q. You mean, how many days it was		
unpaused?		
A. Unpaused, yes, exactly.		
Q. Okay. And do you know, in fact,		
whether you were charged the amounts that are		
specified under the "Cost" column in this		
document?		
A. Not for a fact. But as I said		
before, when I tried to reconcile my monthly		
charges with these, they seemed to be in		
line. But I'm not going to say they agreed		
exactly. And I also can't say how far they		
disagreed.		
Q. Okay. And, again, just I'm		
not sure I got a clear answer. Is it your		
best recollection		
MR. BIDERMAN: Thanks, Barbara.		
Q that you have never received		
any overdelivery credits?		
88		

	. 1	H. Stern				
4:30	2	A. No. I was under the assumption				
4:30	3	that it was related to the number of days				
.4:31	4	your ad is active, and that each day you				
.4:31	5	wouldn't be charged more than your daily				
4:31	6	budget.				
.4:31	7	Q. Okay.				
4:31	8	A. Because I never run my ads				
4:31	9	everyday. So this really doesn't apply to my				
4:31	10	situation.				
4:31	11	Q. And what made you believe that				
4:31	12	you would that the days that your campaign				
4:31	13	was paused would not be included in that				
4:31	14	calculation?				
4:31	15	A. Well, for the simple reason that				
4:31	16	this is this AdWords is put forward as				
4:31	17	something that gives me complete control over				
4:31	18	my charges, so I thought thought it was				
4:31	19	reasonable to assume, if I'm not running my				
4:31	20	ads, why would I be charged for days that I'm				
4:31	21	turning them off.				
4:31	22	Q. Okay. And did you have you				
4:31	23	ever seen any documentation that stated,				
4:32	24	documentation from Google, that stated that				
4:32	25	the days where your campaign was paused would				
		92				

	. 1	H. Stern			
14:32	2	not be factored into that calculation?			
14:32	3	A. As a matter of fact, I was shown			
14:32	4	a screen shot by one of the attorneys that			
L4:32	5	specifically said you're only charged for the			
14:32	6	days your ad is active.			
L4:32	7	Q. And that's in a document and			
L4:32	8.	that's a document that is part of			
l4:32	9	MR. BIDERMAN: Withdraw that			
L4:32	10	question.			
14:32	11	Q. Other than having seen that			
i4.:32	12	document from your attorneys, have you seen			
.4:32	13	any other documents that you believe state			
.4:32	14	that the days that your campaign is paused do			
.4:32	15	not figure into that calculation?			
.4:32	16	A. I would say that it's I don't			
.4:32	17	know the best word's implicit in the way this			
.4:33	18	is billed, you're billed day by day. If you			
.4:33	19	don't have it on, you're not billed. That			
.4:33	20	makes me think that if I'm not billed, it's			
.4:33	21	not added in in any way, so it would not be			
.4:33	22	included in the monthly calculation. It's			
.4:33	23	literary just a day that I'm not using the			
.4:33	24	service and I'm not charged for it.			
.4:33	25	Q. And other than that, the			
		93			

	1	H. Stern				
14:42	2	overdelivery credits?				
14:42	3	A. Yes.				
14:42	4	Q. And after you were told by				
14:43	5	Google that you could accrues charges above				
14:43	6	or below your set limit, you continued to use				
14:43	7	the Google program; correct?				
14:43	8	A. Yes, I continued to use it.				
L4:43	9	It's being used possibly today, yes.				
L4:43	10	Q. Okay. And it is correct to say				
L4:43	11	that you understood, certainly as of				
14:43	12	10 October 2003, that you could accrue				
4:43	13	charges above or below your set limit?				
.4:43	14	A. I never thought that I would be				
.4:43	15	billed for them. Accruing and being charged				
4:43	16	for them are two different things. I saw				
.4:43	17	that I was accruing charges over my daily				
.4:43	18	budget. I never thought that I would be				
.4:43	19	billed for those, especially since I never				
4:43	20	received any overdelivery credits. I was				
4:43	21	both accruing and being charged for more than				
4:43	22	my daily budgets every single many times.				
4:44	23	Q. Okay. And then, with respect to				
4:44	24	the and, in fact, on October 21, which was				
4:44	25	11 days after you received this				
		1.01				

1 H. Stern					
16:23	2	Exhibit 19. Look at page 26.			
16:24	3	A. Okay.			
16:24	4	Q. It states in the middle of the			
16:24	5	page, "If you'd like your campaign to run			
16:24	6	only during certain hours, you may pause it			
16:24	7	during the hours that you do not want it to			
16:24	8	show and resume it when you want it to run			
16:24	9	again."			
16:24	10	Do you see that?			
16:24	11	A. Yeah, I see that now.			
16:24	12	Q. Okay. Did you see it at the			
16:24	13	time?			
16:24	14	A. No, I don't recall seeing it at			
L6:24	15	the time.			
L6:24	16	Q. Okay. The next line, "You can			
L6:24	17	pause your ad at any time. You won't accrue			
L6:24	18	charges while your ads are paused, and they			
L6:24	19	will remain paused until you resume them."			
16:24	20	Was that your understanding of			
.6:24	21	your agreement with Google?			
.6:24	22	A. Yes. When you activate the			
.6:24	23	program, you unpause it and then, naturally,			
.6:24	24	if you want to stop being charged you pause			
.6:24	25	it.			
		170			

	_			
	. 1	H. Stern		
16:24	2	Q. Okay. Was it your understanding		
16:24	3	that Google would charge you while your ad		
L6:24	4	was paused?		
L6:24	5	A. No, I never thought that I'd be		
L6:24	6	charged when my ad was paused.		
L6:25	7	Q. If you had a budget of \$10 and		
L6:25	8	you ran that ad one day during a 30-day		
L6:25	9	period, what would you expect to be charged		
16:25	10	from Google?		
l6:25	11	A. No more than \$10.		
16:25	12	Q. Okay. And what if they charged		
<b>-6:2</b> 5	13	you \$11, would that be a violation of your		
.6:25	14	agreement with Google?		
.6:25	15	A. I believe so.		
<sub>-</sub> 6:25	16	Q. And what if they charged you		
.6:25	17	\$12?		
.6:25	18	A. Anything over \$10 would be a		
.6:25	19	violation.		
.6:25	20	Q. And why is that?		
.6:25	21	A. Because anything over \$10 is		
.6:25	22	more than my budget of \$10, daily budget of		
.6:25	23	\$10.		
.6:25	24	Q. And there was no way for Google		
.6:25	25	to credit you to underbill you for subsequent		
		171		

		·	
1			H. Stern
16:27	2	A.	Yes.
16:27	3	Q.	Is that an incentive for you to
16:27	4	sign up for	AdWords?
16:27	5	A.	Right. It's a good reason,
16:27	6	right.	
L6:27	7	Q.	And then it goes on to say,
L6:27	8	"This is the	same no matter how you choose to
L6:27	9	pay for your	advertising."
L6:27	10		Do you see that?
L6:27	11	Α.	Yes.
L6:27	12	Q.	Okay. So why did you switch to
l6:27	13	Google from	Yahoo?
.6:27	14	Α.	Because Yahoo had a monthly
.6:27	15	minimum that	I needed to pay, whether or not
.6:27	16	I even ran t	he ads.
.6:27	17	Q.	And Google?
.6:27	18	A.	They had no minimum.
.6:27	19	Q.	And, in fact, they told you they
.6:28	20	had no minim	um; correct?
.6:28	21	Α.	Right.
.6:28	22		MR. BIDERMAN: Objection.
.6:28	23	Assume	s facts not in evidence.
.6:28	24		MR. LEVY: Do you want to change
.6:28	25	the tap	pe now?
			174

BARKLEY

Court Reporters